

**208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41704-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision-10.01.2017

Ashok and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. S.P.Soi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Rameshwar.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.127 dated 04.11.2016, registered under Sections 148, 332, 353, 186 and 506 read with Section 149 of Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC') at Police Station Rohari, District Rewari.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present FIR and it is a case of version and cross version. He further contends that the occurrence took place on 03.11.2016 whereas the FIR was registered on 04.11.2016. There is delay in lodging of FIR and there are chances of manipulations.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions states that though the petitioners have joined the investigation but they are not co-operating.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone

through the case file.

The petitioner No.1, namely, Ashok gave axe blow on the head of the complainant whereas petitioner No.2, namely, Manoj Kumar @ Dholiya gave danda blow on the head and petitioner No.3, namely, Umed caused fracture on hip of the complainant. Further they are not cooperating in the matter.

In view of the above, no case for grant of bail is made out.

Dismissed.

Anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

January 10, 2017

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No