

CRM No. M-40750 of 2017

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-40750 of 2017
Date of Decision : 02.11.2017

Yograj

...Petitioner

Versus

Gurinderpal Singh Sodhi

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Ms. Poonam Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioner has impugned the order dated 28.09.2017 (Annexure P-4), whereby application of the respondent/complainant for condonation of delay of 11 days in filing the Complaint under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act (Annexure P-2) has been allowed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the respondent/complainant could not show sufficient cause for condonation of delay and, therefore, the impugned order is illegal.

Heard.

A perusal of the impugned order indicates that the delay of 11 days in filing the complaint has been condoned as it was mentioned in the application that negotiations with the complainant were going on to amicably settle the dispute. There were two holidays in between and the complaint was filed on 15.03.2016.

I do not find any patent illegality in the impugned order condoning the delay of 11 days in filing the complaint, which would warrant interference while exercising jurisdiction under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

November 02, 2017
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>No</i>