

208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4074 of 2017

Date of decision: March 23, 2017

Som Parkash

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. K. Arun Singh, Advocate the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Anand Bhardwaj, Advocate for the complainant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

This is a petition for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.34 dated 28.01.2017, under Sections 306, 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Farakpur, District Yamuna Nagar, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail. This Court had made an order dated 07.02.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

I have perused the suicide notice. Learned counsel for the complainant has relied on order dated 28.02.2017 passed in CRM-M-6468 of 2017 and order dated 07.03.2017 passed in CRM-M-7768 of 2017 by this Court. Upon perusal of the suicide notice, I find that except saying that the petitioner-Som Parkash was issuing oral orders, there is nothing incriminating against the petitioner as the person

responsible for the suicide. The orders cited do not have any application looking to the specific role to discuss about them in the said two orders.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 07.02.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

March 23, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No