

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-40733 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: November 29, 2017

Balvir Singh and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Ms. Sonia Rani, Advocate for
Mr. Vinod Kumar Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. A.S. Dhaliwal, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Parshant Vashist, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of DDR No.03 dated 24.02.2017, registered under Sections 323, 354, 506, 34 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Hathoor, District Ludhiana Rural in FIR No.19 dated 22.02.2017 registered under Sections 452, 323, 354, 506, 427, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code and Sections 3, 4 of S.C. & S.T. Act, at Police Station Hathoor, District Ludhiana Rural (Annexure P/1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure P/2).

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-Rajwinder Kaur on the allegations that the accused-petitioners have given bawdy signal to her and also gave injuries to her. Now with the intervention

of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the Illaqua Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Judicial Magistrate Ist Class at Jagraon, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble

Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Cr.) 543**, this petition is allowed and DDR No.03 dated 24.02.2017, registered under Sections 323, 354, 506, 34 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Hathoor, District Ludhiana Rural in FIR No.19 dated 22.02.2017 registered under Sections 452, 323, 354, 506, 427, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code and Sections 3, 4 of S.C. & S.T. Act, at Police Station Hathoor, District Ludhiana Rural and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed.

The petition stands disposed of.

November 29, 2017

vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No