

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -41574 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 06.03.2017

Bachittar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Arshdeep S. Kler, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

CRM-7369-2017

Allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions.

Main Case

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.74 dated 29.06.2016, registered under Sections 406 and 420 of IPC, at Police Station Kartarpur, Jalandhar.

On 21.11.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that a complaint had been lodged on 29.09.2015 with regard to the same issue. The complaint was enquired into by the Superintendent of Police (Rural), Jalandhar (Annexure P-2) and no

substance was found in the allegations therein. The transaction pertains to year 2013.

Notice of motion for 18.01.2017.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

1.That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

2.That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;

3.That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 21.11.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 21.11.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

06.03.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No