

CRM-M-40704-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40704-2017

Date of Decision: 03.11.2017

Parwinder Singh @ Prince Virk

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. A.P.Kaushal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S.Aulakh, DAG, Punjab.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner-Parwinder Singh @ Prince Virk has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.11 dated 04.01.2014, registered at Police Station Morinda, District Ropar, under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that as per the FIR, an agreement was executed between the complainant as well as accused-petitioner that

CRM-M-40704-2017

he(petitioner) will arrange the live concerts of the complainant in Italy and Germany. As per the FIR, the present petitioner has committed breach of the agreement and caused loss to the complainant to the tune of ₹4 lakhs approximately. As per the FIR, itself, the complainant is saying that he is entitled to damages to the tune of ₹10 lakhs and writing in the FIR that his amount of more than ₹13 lakhs may be got recovered.

The petitioner has been in custody since 11.08.2017. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

However, nothing stated above in any way will constitute my opinion on the merits of the case.

03.11.2017

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No