

CRM-M-40697-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40697-2017

Date of Decision:13.11.2017

Vachittar Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Gurmeet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S.Aulakh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Hakam Singh, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Vachittar Singh has filed this 2nd petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of anticipatory bail in case DDR No.13 dated 13.07.2017, registered at Police Station Kamboj, District Amritsar (Rural), under Sections 307, 326-A, 336, 148 and 149 of IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Notice of motion was issued in this case. Learned State counsel has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and complainant has also appeared through his counsel. They contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

CRM-M-40697-2017

From the record, I find that the petitioner has sought the relief of anticipatory bail in cross-version. As per the FIR as well as cross-version, the present petitioner is stated to be present at the spot at the time of occurrence. It has been recorded in the DDR of cross-version that the present petitioner had also participated in the commission of the offence by raising a *lalkara*. Both the sides have received injuries by pouring acid.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and in view of nature and gravity of the offence, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled to the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

13.11.2017
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No