

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

202

**CRM-M-40641-2017
DATE OF DECISION: 04.12.2017**

KASHMIR SINGH SARPANCH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. A.K. Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanisth Ganeriwala, Asstt.A.G., Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 88 dated 26.10.2016, under Sections 452, 379, 323, 427, 506, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Kabarwala, District Shri Muktsar Sahib.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in the FIR no specific role has been attributed to the petitioner. He has been named therein as his grand daughter was married with the son of the complainant and they had developed differences thereafter. He also contends that the nature and details of the articles damaged or taken away have also not been specified in the FIR.

This Court, by the order dated 31.10.2017, had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting

Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sukhmander Singh, states that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has joined investigation, the order dated 31.10.2017 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

04.12.2017*SwarnjitS***(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes / No
Whether reportable :	Yes / No