

201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-41505-2016
Date of decision : 21.03.2017**

Tarsem Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab & another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. V.K. Sandhir, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Pursuant to a fresh notice issued to respondent No.2, as per the report of the registry, he stands served through his son. However, none has appeared for him.

Vide the order dated 02.12.2016, while issuing notice, it had been directed that the petitioner would appear before the trial Court within a period of 20 days along with a bank draft of Rs.20,000/- in the name of the complainant, and upon doing so he would be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of that Court. It was also directed that after issuing notice to the complainant, the draft would be handed over to him (complainant). The amount of Rs.20,000/- was directed to be taken to be costs under Section 309, Explanation 2, of the Criminal Procedure Code.

On the last date of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the aforesaid costs of Rs.20,000/- have already been paid to the complainant.

In view of the above, subject to the condition of the petitioner continues to appear before the learned trial Court as and when summoned, the order dated 02.12.2016, is made absolute.

The petition is disposed of, accordingly.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

March 21, 2017
Ramandeep/Vinay

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable No