

108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40637 of 2017

Date of decision: October 30, 2017

Vinod Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Rajiv Kataria, Advocate the petitioner.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.252 dated 13.05.2015, under Sections 148, 149, 307, 323, 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 read with Sections 25, 54, 59 and Sections 27, 55, 59 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Assandh, District Karnal.

Pursuant to the order made by this Court in CRR No.3475 of 2017, petitioner-Vinod Kumar was summoned as an accused by the trial Court in FIR in question, for the reasons stated in the said order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner pointed out FIR and contended that the name of petitioner-Vinod Kumar was added after one month.

Be that as it may, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from the petitioner, makes a statement that till the trial is completed, the petitioner would not enter Tehsil Assandh including village Moondh and would abide by of this condition.

Accepting the said statement made on behalf of the petitioner, I make the following order:-

ORDER

- (i) Petitioner shall be released on bail upon his furnishing bail bonds amounting to ₹10,000/- with one surety of like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court;
- (ii) Petitioner shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence, threaten or exercise any influence on the prosecution witnesses;
- (iii) Petitioner would not enter village Moondh as well as Tehsil Assandh, District Karnal till the trial is completed;
- (iv) Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

October 30, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No