

CRM-M-40626-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40626-2017

Date of Decision: 06.11.2017

Vineet @ Bhole

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. R.S.Rai, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Karan Pathak, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Vineet @ Bhole has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.666 dated 29.12.2015 (date wrongly mentioned as 31.12.2015 in the FIR, Annexure P-1), under Sections 302, 120-B, 202 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Sector 55, District Faridabad.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

Though the present petitioner has been named in the FIR and role has been attributed to him, but at the same time, learned counsel

CRM-M-40626-2017

for the petitioner argued that the complainant as well as eye-witnesses have turned hostile and their statements have been produced on the record.

From the record, I find that PW 7 Bishamber, PW 8 Ajay and PW 10 Poonam have not supported the prosecution version and have been declared hostile. The petitioner has been in custody since 25.04.2016 and he is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he has only to face the trial which may otherwise take a long time. Therefore, no useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with two sureties in the like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

06.11.2017

parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No