

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-40610-2017

Date of decision: 31.10.2017

Surjit Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

PRESENT: Mr. SS Majithia, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

1. In the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., prayer has been made for issuance of a direction to respondent No. 2(A)-Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar, Mohali, to take appropriate legal action against respondents No. 4 to 9, who are threatening the petitioner and his family members and forcibly entered into the land of the petitioner and also harvested his standing paddy crop in connivance with respondent No. 4.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that even after decretal of the civil suit in favour of the petitioner, the private respondents are time and again interfering into his possession of the land in question, in connivance with respondents No. 3 and 4. He further contends that the purpose of the instant petition would be achieved, if respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa, is directed to decide the representation dated 10.10.2017 (Annexure P-7), moved by the petitioner.

Learned

3. In view of the above, respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent

of Police, Mansa, is directed to look into the matter and decide the representation Annexure P-7, moved by the petitioner, in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order and after affording an opportunity of hearing to him, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. The instant petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

October 31, 2017
rishu

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No