IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-41466-2016
Date of decision : 5.4.2017

Joginder Singh @ Joginder Dahiya ....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another ....Respondents

CORAM: **HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI**

Present: Mr. Parveen K Kataria, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Maharaj Kumar, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

****

RITU BAHRI, J.

Prayer in the present petition is for quashing of FIR No. 298 dated 13.8.2013 under Sections 406, 498-A, 506, 354, 313 IPC registered at Police Station Butana District Karnal and the order dated 5.9.2014 (Annexure P-2) vide which petitioner has been declared proclaimed offender on the basis of compromise deed dated 16.8.2016 (Annexure P-3).

Respondent No. 2 got married to petitioner on 29.1.2012. After few days of marriage he returned back to Australia. Vide order dated 5.9.2014 he was declared proclaimed offender. After the registration of FIR in question, a special power of attorney was executed by the petitioner by nominating Mahender Singh son of Lehna Singh who is the father of petitioner to contest on his behalf. The FIR in question was registered against the petitioner on account of harassing and humiliating respondent No. 2.

Preeti
2017.05.05 15:22
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh

However, the matter has now been duly compromised. In compliance of order dated 8.12.2016, report dated 2.3.2017 of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Karnal has been received in this regard. As per report, statement of parties have been recorded. Complainant-respondent No.2 stated that the matter stands compromised between the parties and she does not want to proceed further against the accused and has no objection, if the present F.I.R be quashed against the petitioner. The compromise has been entered voluntarily. To the same effect is the statements given by petitioner.

Consequently, in view of the status report dated 2.3.2017 and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. versus State of Madhya Pradesh and another 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910***, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 298 dated 13.8.2013 under Sections 406, 498-A, 506, 354, 313 IPC registered at Police Station Butana District Karnal and order dated 5.9.2014 declaring the petitioner as proclaimed offender are quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

5.4.2017
preeti

Whether speaking/reasoned	**Yes/No**
Whether reportable	**Yes/No**

Preeti
2017.05.05 15:22
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh