

114

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. 40443 of 2017 in/and
CRM No. M-40549 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 14.12.2017

Ravinder Jain

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sanjeev Gupta, Advocate, for applicant-petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

CRM-40443-2017

An application has been filed for impleading respondents No. 5 to 7 in present case.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, persons named in para 2 of application are allowed to be impleaded as respondents No. 5 to 7 in present case. Amended Memo of Parties filed and same is taken on record.

Application is disposed of.

Main case

Heard.

Petitioner has filed present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for providing security to him. The petitioner seeks directions to official respondents to protect his life and liberty.

It comes out that a coordinate bench of this Court, vide order

CRM No. M-40549 of 2017 (O/M)

dated 5.2.2015, passed in CRM-M-7291-2014, had already passed an order on similar petition, filed by petitioner and had given appropriate direction to respondents. If appropriate action is not taken or if appropriate order is not passed within two months, the petitioner can always file appropriate petition to enforce that order.

Disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

14.12.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No