

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -41403 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 17.01.2017

Angrej Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Ravi Singh, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Pawan Kumar.

Mr. APS Mann, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.879 dated 30.09.2015, registered under Sections 193, 195, 195-A, 201, 511, 506 and 120-B of IPC, at Police Station City Karnal, District Karnal.

On 21.11.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that Ravi who is nephew of complainant Ram Rattan (now accused in the present case) was engaged to Meenu. Subsequently, said Ravi was found to be Mangleek. However, the marriage could not mature as the boy as per Astrologer was assessed with some defects in his stars. In the meantime, his fiancée, namely, Meenu registered an FIR against brother of the complainant-Ram Karan, his

wife and his son Ravi. No amount has been paid by the complainant.

Notice of motion for 17.01.2017.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*
- 3. That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court."*

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 21.11.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that though the petitioner has joined the investigation, however, he is not cooperating.

Keeping in view the fact that the challan qua the petitioner has been presented, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 21.11.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

17.01.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No