

**205-B IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-40438 of 2017.

Date of Decision: 09.11.2017.

Lakhwinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. S.S. Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gulnoor Ghuman, AAG Punjab
assisted by ASI Sapinder Singh.

Mr. T.S. Sidhu, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438

Cr.P.C seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 259 dated 21.09.2017

registered under Section 306 read with Section 34 IPC at Police Station

Nathana, District Bathinda.

On 30.10.2017 this Court had passed the following
order:-

“Learned counsel contends that death had taken place at the parental
home. The petitioner being the brother-in-law had nothing to do with the
matrimonial life of the deceased.

Notice of motion for 09.11.2017.

The petitioner is directed to join the investigation.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting
Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following
conditions:-

1. That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
 2. That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;
 3. That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.
- To be heard along with CRM M-37994 of 2017”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 30.10.2017, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

Learned counsel for the complainant does not wish to oppose the bail application.

In view of above, the order dated 30.10.2017 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to furnishing of bail-bonds/surety bonds by the petitioner to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

09.11.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No