

**219 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.40450 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision : November 15, 2017**

Islami

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. V.B. Aggarwal, Advocate for
Mr. Sunil Panwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K. Makkad, DAG, Haryana.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Copy of the challan has been produced. It shows that during investigation, four accused are found to be involved in the crime, out of which, one has been arrested by the police.

Learned State counsel states that earlier 12 persons were named in the FIR. However, they were not found involved in the crime and other four persons were found involved in the crime. Out of four accused, one has been arrested and remaining three have been declared proclaimed offender and that the police is making efforts to apprehend them.

As and when the aforesaid three accused are apprehended, supplementary challan be presented against them.

The present petition was filed for entrustment of the investigation to C.B.I. or to the Deputy Inspector General of Police, Crime Branch, Madhuban.

Since, in this case, investigation has been completed and challan has been presented against one person, there is no need for transferring the investigation.

As such, the present petition is disposed of

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

November 15, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No