

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-41256 OF 2016 (O&M)
DECIDED ON : 30.03.2017**

Sehajpal Singh and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of U.T and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Himanshu Puri, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepender Brar, Advocate,
Standing Counsel for U.T. Chandigarh.

Ms. Gujit Kaur, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C seeking quashing of FIR No.342 dated 21.08.2014 under Sections 294/506/427/34 of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Sector-11, Chandigarh, in view of compromise.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2/ complainant submits that compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had made an order dated 31.01.2017 asking for recording of statements in order to find out the correctness and genuineness of the compromise said to have been arrived at between the parties.

Accordingly, statements have been recorded by the trial Court and report has been received by this court. Looking to the report, it is seen that compromise is voluntary and genuine. I have seen the offences registered against the petitioners. Looking to the nature of the offences, I think the compromise/compounding should be allowed.

In view of the above, I make the following order :

O R D E R

- (i) CRM No. M-41256 of 2016 is allowed;
- (ii) The FIR No. 342 dated 21.08.2014 under Sections 294/506/427/34 of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Sector-11, Chandigarh, is hereby quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

MARCH 30, 2017
shalini

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
- 2. Whether reportable : YES/NO