

Criminal Misc. No. M- 4034 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : April 18, 2018

Raghubir Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

Criminal Misc. No. M- 3017 of 2017 (O&M)

Charanjit Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Arihant Jain, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Seena Mand, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, Advocate for

Mr. Aaskash Singla, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

LISA GILL, J.

This order shall dispose of two petitions i.e. Criminal Misc.
Nos. M- 4034 and 3017 of 2017.

Criminal Misc. No. M- 4034 of 2017 has been filed by Raghubir
Singh – husband of the complainant/respondent No. 2.

Criminal Misc. No. M- 3017 of 2017 has been filed by parents-
in-law of the complainant/respondent No. 2.

Prayer in these petitions is for grant of anticipatory bail to the
petitioners in FIR No. 57 dated 25.06.2015 under Sections 406/498A IPC
registered at Police Station Women Cell, District Patiala.

It is informed that the matter has been amicably resolved
between the parties during the pendency of this petition. Terms and
conditions of the settlement arrived at between the parties on 22.01.2017 are
attached with this file.

Petitioner – Raghbir Singh and respondent No. 2 – Kamaljeet Kaur, duly identified by their counsel, are present in Court. Both of them have affirmed that they are living in the matrimonial home. Petitioner – Raghbir Singh undertakes to deposit a sum of ₹1,500/- in the bank account of his wife by the 7th of each month for her personal expenses. It is submitted that the petitioner has handed over the said amount to respondent No. 2 ever since they started residing together.

Respondent No. 2, present in Court, submits that she has no objection, in case, these petitions are allowed subject to due care and affection being provided to her in the matrimonial home.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from HC Manjinder Singh, verifies that the petitioners have joined investigation.

There are no allegations on behalf of the State that the petitioners are likely to abscond or that they are likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts in the Court, if released on bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances noted above but without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, it is considered just and expedient to allow these petitions. Consequently, orders dated 08.02.2017 (in CRM-M-4034-2017) and 01.02.2017 (in CRM-M-3017-2017) are made absolute.

However, liberty is afforded to respondent No.2 to move an appropriate application in this matter, in case, she is subjected to any kind of ill-treatment or harassment.

April 18, 2018
rts

(Lisa Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No