

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

In reply, learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer as well as learned counsel appearing for the complainant, submit that as per the MLR, the complainant has received five injuries and all are fractures. It is also submitted that as per opinion of the doctor, the injuries if taken together have been reported to be dangerous to

life and therefore, Section 307 of IPC was invoked in the present FIR. It is also submitted that the main accused Gabhan Singh and other co-accused are yet to be arrested. It is further submitted that in the present FIR, the complainant has specifically stated that it is the petitioner at whose instance, his son Gabhan Singh has caused injuries to him.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that the complainant is still in Hospital and under treatment. It is also submitted that the present petitioner is involved in four other FIRs, photocopies of which have been placed on record and has opposed the prayer for bail.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, considering the nature of injuries sustained by the complainant at the instance of co-accused Gabhan Singh who is son of the petitioner; in view of the opinion of the doctor that all the injuries if taken together are dangerous to life; the main accused is yet to be arrested and the allegations in the FIR that the injuries were caused in conspiracy with the present petitioner who is named as main conspirator, I find no merit in the present petition for grant of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

10.11.2017
Hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No