

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-4024 of 2017 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 24.03.2017**

Raju & another

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

--Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.**

Present:- Mr. Lakhwinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Bakshi, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.**

This order shall dispose of the instant petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.372, dated 11.09.2016, under Sections 148/149/323/324/341/506 IPC (Sections 325/326 IPC added subsequently), registered at Police Station Bhuna, District Fatehabad.

While issuing notice of motion, the following order was passed by this Court on 08.02.2017:

*“The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.372 dated 11.09.2016 registered under sections 148, 149, 323,341, 324, 506 I.P.C (Sections 325, 326 I.P.C were added later on) registered at Police Station, Bhuna, District Fatehabad.*

*Counsel submits that the FIR came to be registered at the instance of complainant/injured Veer Bhan in relation to an occurrence that took place on 9.9.2016. It is argued that even as per version of the complainant it was co-accused Balwant Singh and Jagir Singh, who had inflicted injuries having been armed*

*with a sword and a Kapa. It is further contended that even though, the names of the present petitioners figure in the FIR and they are alleged to be present at the spot but they have been attributed only fist and slap blows.*

*Notice of motion, returnable for 24.3.2017.*

*To be heard along with CRM No. M-1530 of 2017.*

*In the meanwhile, petitioners are directed to join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and they shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”*

Learned State counsel upon instruction from SI Kumblu has apprised the Court that the petitioners have since joined investigation. That apart, the observations made by this Court in the notice of motion order have gone un rebutted.

Accordingly, prayer made in the instant petition is accepted. Order dated 08.02.2017 passed by this Court is made absolute.

Disposed of.

**24.03.2017**

*harjeet*

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)  
JUDGE**

- |     |                            |     |
|-----|----------------------------|-----|
| i)  | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes |
| ii) | Whether reportable?        | No  |