

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

269

CRL. MISC. No.M-41096 OF 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 22nd FEBRUARY, 2017

Balbir Singh

.... **Petitioner**

Versus

State of Punjab

.... **Respondent**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Daljeet Singh Virk, AAG, Punjab.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Rule heard forthwith with consent of learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the State filed affidavit, which is taken on record.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the fact that the petitioner was in fact lodged in jail and therefore, there was no question of the petitioner attending court at the relevant time when he was in jail but he was declared proclaimed offender.

In that view of the matter the rule is made absolute in terms of the prayer, which reads thus:

That the operation of the impugned orders dated
31.1.2014 (Annexure P-3) and order dated
14.08.2014 (Annexure P-4) may kindly be stayed

during the pendency of the present petition, in the
interest of justice.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the trial court on
06.03.2017, which shall proceed further in accordance with law.

Bail to the satisfaction of the concerned CJM/Duty
Magistrate.

22nd February, 2017
‘raj’

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No.