

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-41060-2016
Date of decision-12.01.2017**

Kulwinder Singh @ Ravinder Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. M.S.Rana, Advocate for
Mr. R.S.Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.98 dated 14.09.2016, registered under Sections 15 and 16 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 at Police Station Rori, District Sirsa.

On 18.11.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that the petitioner was not arrested from the spot and particulars of the vehicle are not mentioned in the FIR. The petitioner is not known to the complainant, ASI Surjeet Singh. The quantity recovered is non-commercial in nature. Otherwise also quantity of poppy husk is 1 kilogram and 800 grams. He further contends that the petitioner is not involved in any other FIR.

Notice of motion for 12.01.2017.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

1.That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

2.That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;

3. That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court. ”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 18.11.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation. The learned State counsel, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation and recovery of motorcycle has been effected.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 18.11.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

January 12, 2017

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No