

**CRM-M-40191-2017**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-40191-2017**

**Date of Decision: 01.11.2017**

Satish Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Atul Lakhanpal, Sr. Advocate with  
Ms. Bably Kumari, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

**INDERJIT SINGH, J.**

Petitioner-Satish Kumar has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.279 dated 31.07.2017, registered at Police Station Farakpur, District Yamuna Nagar, under Sections 279, 336, 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion has been issued in this case.

Mr. B.S.Virk, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that as per the allegations, the present petitioner was driving a car; he tried to hit the police officials and fled away from the spot after leaving the car there.

**CRM-M-40191-2017**

Learned State counsel has submitted that there were two other ladies in the car and their statements have been recorded. As per the prosecution version, a mobile phone was also found in the car having answer key of the examination which was being conducted on the same date.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He also argued that there is no version regarding ladies in the car or recovery of mobile phones from the car etc. in the FIR.

All the offences are triable by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class. Moreover, the petitioner has been in custody since 30.07.2017. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. Therefore, no useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

**01.11.2017**

parveen kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

**(INDERJIT SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

: Yes

: No