

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-40190 of 2017(O&M)
Date of Decision: November 16, 2017

Sunita Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, DAG Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.97 dated 15.08.2017, under Sections 304-B, 34 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Rajasansi, District Amritsar Rural.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner herein was taken into custody in the aforesaid FIR on 15.08.2017. It is argued that the petitioner herein is the married sister-in-law of the deceased and is falsely implicated in the present case. It is also contended that investigating is complete and challan has already been presented, therefore, the petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-

State, on instructions from Investigating Officer, opposes the grant of regular bail, while submitting that challan has already been presented.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since, the trial is likely to take some time and in view of the fact that investigation is complete and challan has already been presented, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

November 16, 2017

vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No