

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.267

CRM-M No.41021 of 2016

Date of decision: 27.01.2017

Raj Kumar and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. D.S. Nain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr.G.S. Verma, Advocate for
Mr. Nihal Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the present petition, quashing of FIR No.332 dated 09.09.2016, registered under Sections 323, 506 and 34 IPC, at Police Station Civil Line, Kaithal, is sought for on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

Through order of this Court dated 17.11.2016, the parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their statements recorded, who in turn was directed to send a report to this Court as also to apprise this Court the number of persons involved in the present FIR and if any of them has been declared proclaimed offender.

As directed, report dated 16.12.2016 from the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Kaithal, has been received, as per which the parties

recorded their statements before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court in terms of the compromise arrived at between them and none of the accused is proclaimed offender.

Learned State counsel has also raised no objection.

In view of the above, continuation of the proceedings in pursuance to the afore-referred FIR would be an abuse of the process of law and in terms of the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others versus State of Haryana and others, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, I deem it just and proper to allow the petition and resultantly quash the FIR No.332 dated 09.09.2016, registered under Sections 323, 506 and 34 IPC, at Police Station Civil Line, Kaithal, and all proceedings arising therefrom.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

January 27, 2017

Jyoti 1

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No