

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-40132 OF 2017
DECIDED ON : 26.10.2017**

Pooja Devi and another

...Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking a direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 6.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that the year of birth of petitioner No.1 is 1993 and date of birth of petitioner No.2 is 01.01.1989. Copies of Aadhaar Cards of both the petitioners are annexed as Annexures P-1 and P-2. They are stated to have solemnized their marriage on 24.10.2017. The photographs in this regard are annexed as Annexure P-3. It is further stated in the petition that the petitioners had also made a representation dated 24.10.2017 (Annexure P-4) to the Superintendent of Police, Jind, but no action has so far been taken thereon.

Issue notice to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Vikas Malik, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

Without expressing any opinion on the validity of the marriage and keeping in view the mandate of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of '**Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. and another', JT 2006(6) SC 173**, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Jind, to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

OCTOBER 26, 2017

shalini

- | | |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned : | YES/NO |
| 2. Whether reportable : | YES/NO |