

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

220

CRM No.M-40979 of 2016
Date of decision: 12.07.2017

Rajbrinder Singh and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

Enforcement Officer Employees Provident Fund
Organisation

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present:- Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sanjay Tangri, Advocate
for the respondent.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Vakalatnama filed on behalf of the respondent is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the respondent states that the instant petition has rendered infructuous as the petitioner's prayer for permitting him to furnish common bail bonds with respect to number of complaints pending before learned trial Court has been met with vide previous order dated 17.11.2016.

However, learned counsel for the petitioners admitting the above fact further contends that all the complaints pending against the petitioners may kindly be ordered to be clubbed together.

In all 48 complaints are pending against the petitioners.

According to learned counsel for the respondent, all are having different

cause of action, therefore, in the considered opinion of this Court, cannot be ordered as such. Consequently, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners to club all the 48 cases is rejected.

Petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

12.07.2017
Dinesh Bansal

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No