

272

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1)

CRM-M-4008-2017

Date of Decision : 25.05.2017

AVTAR SINGH AND OTHERS

....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

....RESPONDENTS

AND

2)

CRM-M-4278-2017

TALWINDER SINGH AND OTHERS

....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Ms. Gurpal Kaur Dulat, Advocate
for the petitioners (in CRM-M-4008-2017)
and for respondent No.2 (in CRM-M-4278-2017).

Mr. K.S.Pannu, DAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1/State.

Ms. Jitender Bansal, Advocate for
Mr. Gurpreet Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2 (in CRM-M-4008-2017)
and for the petitioners (in CRM-M-4278-2017).

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, JUDGE (ORAL)

This order shall dispose of two petitions as both these petitions
have arisen out of the same occurrence.

Pursuant to the order dated 16.02.2017, passed in the two cases,
two reports of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, SAS Nagar,

(Mohali), are on record, stating to the effect that statements of the parties were recorded before that Court on 30.03.2017.

In connection with the cross version to FIR No.108 dated 31.07.2012 registered at Police Station, Sohana, District Mohali, the statements of Talwinder Singh, Avtar Singh son of Raghubir Singh, Harpal Singh son of Mehar Singh, Jaspal Singh son of Raghubir Singh, Sukhwinder Singh son of Jaspal Singh, Lakhwinder Singh son of Jaspal Singh, Manpreet Singh son of Karnail Singh, Karnail Singh son of Raghubir Singh, are stated to have been recorded before that Court, of whom the complainant is Talwinder Singh, i.e. respondent No.2, in CRM-M-4008-2017.

The other report pertains to the statements recorded with respect to the compromise reached in the aforesaid FIR itself, pursuant to which the statements of Mahinder Kaur wife of Raghubir Singh, Ranjit Singh son of Jagtar Singh, Mandeep Singh son of Jagar and Talwinder Singh son of Jasvir Singh, are stated to have been recorded, of whom Mohinder Kaur is the complainant, i.e. respondent No.2 in CRM-M-4278-2017.

As per the reports of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, SAS Nagar (Mohali), the compromise affected between the parties seems to be genuine and without any pressure or coercion.

Keeping in view the aforesaid, in the interest of peace and harmony, with the offences alleged in both, the FIR and its cross-version,

not being heinous offences, it is considered appropriate to quash the aforesaid FIR and the cross-version thereto.

Consequently, FIR No. 108, dated 31.07.2012, registered for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 452, 325, 323, 294, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Sohana, District SAS Nagar (Mohali); and its cross version registered vide DDR No.38, dated 31.07.2012, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 323, 324, 148, 149 with Section 326 of IPC added, at the same police Station, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed.

Both these petitions stand disposed of.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 25, 2017

dk kamra/rajneesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No