

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-40914 of 2016 (O&M)  
Date of decision:16.02.2017**

Gurpreet Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.**

Present:- Mr. Manuj Nagrath, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Shivali, AAG, Punjab.

...

**TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.**

The petitioner seeks concession of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.86, dated 15.08.2016, under Sections 324, 323 and 34 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Badhni Kalan, District Moga.

On 17.11.2016, while issuing notice of motion, the following order was passed by this Court:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the injuries attributed to the petitioner are simple in nature. The allegation against the petitioner is that he was armed with an iron pipe and had given pipe blow on right ankle and elbow of the complainant. These injuries are simple in nature.

Notice of motion for 16.2.2017.

In the meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer. He shall join the investigation as and when required by the Investigating Officer. However, he shall also comply with the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, which are as under :-

1. That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
2. That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;
3. That he shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.”

Learned State counsel upon instructions from HC Jagsir Singh apprises the Court that the petitioner has since joined investigation.

Even the observations contained in the notice of motion order have gone un rebutted.

In view of the above, the prayer made in the application is accepted. The order dated 17.11.2016 passed by this Court is made absolute.

Disposed of.

**16.02.2017**

*hemlata/yogesh*

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)**  
**JUDGE**

- |     |                            |     |
|-----|----------------------------|-----|
| i)  | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes |
| ii) | Whether reportable?        | No  |