

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-40783 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 17.01.2017

Arjun Kumar Verma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Shalender Mohan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 188 dated 27.03.2014, registered under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B, at Police Station Sector 5, Gurgaon.

It is contended that the petitioner was in the employment of respondent No.2. During his employment, the cheques in question were signed by the petitioner. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in two more FIRs wherein he has been admitted to bail. The investigation stands concluded. The petitioner is in custody since 07.10.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application and states that the cheques were issued in favour of

various persons for discharge of liability of respondent No.2. The petitioner after altering the particulars filled in the cheques got the cheques encashed.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that the petitioner was in the employment of respondent No.2; the investigation stands concluded; challan has been presented, the conclusion of trial will take a long time, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

17.01.2017
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No