

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 17.02.2017

1.

CRM No. M-39858 of 2015

Karan

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

2.

CRM No. M-9518 of 2016

Hardik Sikri

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

3.

CRM No. M-11427 of 2016

Surojit Basak

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

**Present: Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate,
with Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-39858 of 2015)
and respondent No.3 (in CRM-M-11427-2016).**

**Mr. Vikram Chaudhri, Senior Advocate,
with Ms. Isha Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-9518 of 2016)
and respondent No.2 (in CRM-M-11427-2016).**

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

**Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-11427-2016).**

Mr. R.S. Cheema, Senior Advocate,
with Mr. A.S. Cheema, Advocate,
for respondent No.4 (in CRM-M-11427-2016).

Ritu Bahri, J.

This order will dispose of CRM-M-39858-2015, CRM-M-9518-2016 and CRM-M-11427-2016 together as common questions of law and facts are involved therein.

Ist two petitions i.e CRM-M-39858-2015 and CRM-M-9518-2016 have been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner(s) in case FIR No.144 dated 11.04.2015, under Sections 376-D, 120-B IPC read with Section 67-A of Information Technology Act, 2000, registered at Police Station, Rai, Sonapat.

On the other hand, 3rd petition i.e. CRM-11427-2016 has been filed for issuance of direction to the trial Court to ensure expeditious examination of the prosecutrix/complainant.

Pursuant to the order dated 03.12.2016 passed by this Court, a report dated 06.02.2017 has been received from the Additional Sessions Judge, Sonipat. As per this report, 16 private witnesses have been examined and 06 prosecution witnesses have been given up.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Ramesh Kumar, has informed the Court that out of 55 prosecution witnesses, 25 have been examined, 06 have been given up and the trial is fixed for 23.02.2017 for recording of remaining evidence of the prosecution. She has further informed that the remaining prosecution witnesses are official witnesses.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view

the gravity of the offences, no case for grant of regular bail is made out.

Resultantly, CRM-M-39858-2015 and CRM-M-9518-2016 are dismissed.

However, keeping in view that only official witnesses are to be examined and the trial is already fixed for 23.02.2017, a direction is given to the trial Court to complete the trial within a period of two months from today.

17.02.2017
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No