

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.40627 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 03.04.2017

Satinder Singh @ Sonu

-Petitioner

VS

State of Punjab

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sahil Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Additional A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

CRM-11288-2017

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. Accompanying documents are taken on record subject to just exception.

Main case

As per medical prescriptions, the petitioner has been diagnosed as a patient of Acute Renal Failure vide prescriptions dated 21.10.2016 and 03.02.2017.

Vide order dated 15.11.2016, interim bail was granted to the petitioner and thereafter, the same was made to continue till date.

Learned State counsel on instructions from HC

Sukhwinder Singh contended that after release of the petitioner on interim bail, he was also involved in one more case under NDPS Act wherein he was allegedly caught with 250 gms. of Heroine. The petitioner is on bail in the said case.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in the present case, he was allegedly found in possession of 250 gms. of alprazolam which is covered under NDPS Act as per Serial No.30 of the Schedule appended to NDPS Act but in view of recommendations of the Review Committee, the same is also covered under Schedule 'H' of the Drug and Cosmetics Act, 1940. In view of *Rachhpal Singh @ Goldi vs State of Punjab* decided on 15.02.2017 in **CRM-M No.34998 of 2016** and *Parveen @ Dunga vs State of Punjab* **2014(33) RCR (Criminal) 46**, the petitioner deserves to be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

In view of above, I deem it appropriate to make the order dated 15.11.2016 as absolute.

Ordered accordingly.

03.04.2017
jjyoti Y.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No