

**217 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-39724 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 30.10.2017.

Nirvail Singh @ Kala

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Anju Arora, Addl. AG Punjab.

Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate,
for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C seeking bail in case FIR No.190 dated 11.09.2017 registered under Section 354 IPC and Section 7 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 at Police Station Jandiala, Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the instant FIR is an outcome of misunderstanding between the parties. He refers to Annexure P-2 to submit that the misunderstanding has now been resolved.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

Learned counsel for the complainant admits the factum of compromise

Heard.

Considering the fact that a compromise has been reached at between the parties so, without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/duty Magistrate concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

30.10.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No