

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 201

CRM-M-40554-2016

Decided on : 03.03.2017

Bakshish Singh @ Bhola

..... Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Punjab

..... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Akashdeep Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Neeraj Yadav, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. P. B. S. Goraya, Advocate, for the complainant.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr. P. C., the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 77, dated 22.04.2016, registered under Section 306 IPC, at Police Station City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran.

Vide order dated 18.11.2016, while issuing notice of motion, this Court had ordered that in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the investigating officer and subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C.

Seeking anticipatory bail for the petitioner, learned counsel submits that a bare perusal of the FIR would show that the basic ingredients of Section 306 IPC are not made out and under the interim orders of this Court, the petitioner has joined investigation and fully cooperated with the investigating agency.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Amarjit Singh, submits that the petitioner has joined investigation and that since the investigation is virtually over, the petitioner, at this stage, is not needed by the investigating agency for any further questioning.

Whether the petitioner abetted the suicide, would be deciphered during the trial. Even otherwise, learned State counsel has submitted that the petitioner is not needed by the investigating agency for any further questioning.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the order dated 18.11.2016 granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions prescribed under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C.

Nothing observed herein-above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this Court on the merits of the case.

03.03.2017
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No