

249

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39625 of 2015

Date of decision: May 08, 2017

Vivek Sharma

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Kunwar Rajan, Advocate for
Mr. Vineet Kaushal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhishek Chautala, AAG Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.82 dated 08.06.2015, under Sections 406, 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Urban Estate, Patiala, the petitioner seeks quashing of the said FIR on the basis of compromise.

FIR in question was lodged against the petitioner that he had duped the complainant by ₹1.50 lacs with a compromise to employ him in Suvidha Centre, Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that now compromise has been arrived at.

In view of the fact that the FIR is of the year 2015 and that the petitioner had cheated respondents No.2 and 3 in the sum of ₹1.50 lacs, in my opinion, the petitioner ought to pay an amount of ₹7,000/-

to the office of Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala payable to the State of Punjab within a period of 6 months from today. It is on this condition, the compounding/compromise is accepted.

In that view of the matter, this petition is allowed. FIR No.82 dated 08.06.2015, under Sections 406, 420 of IPC, registered at Police Station Urban Estate, Patiala alongwith all consequential proceedings, is quashed by way of compounding/compromise, subject to the petitioner depositing ₹7,000/- with the office of Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala within a period of 6 months from today, which shall be forfeited to the State of Punjab. Upon failure of depositing the said amount, the present FIR in question shall automatically revived.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

May 08, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No