

CRM NO. M-39674 OF 2017(O&M)

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM NO. M-39674 OF 2017(O&M)
DECIDED ON : 30.11.2017

Darshan Singh and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. M.K. Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanisth Ganeriwala, Assistant Advocate General,
Punjab.

Mr. Ranjit Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 60 dated 18.07.2017, under Sections 323, 341, 506, 379, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Cheema, District Sangrur.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that FIR is an outcome of a dispute with regard to management of the Institute and only simple injuries with sticks are stated to have been caused on the non-vital parts of the body of injured. He further contends that only non-bailable offence is under Section 379 IPC, which itself is doubtful as the stolen property was stated to be in the custody of the Trust and there is a dispute about the management of the Trust property between the petitioners and the complainant.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Jaksir

Singh states that although other injuries are on the non-vital parts

of the body of the injured but there is one other injury on the brother of the complainant, which has been caused by petitioner No. 2, Jagtar Singh.

At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioners contends that Jagtar Singh himself was also injured in the occurrence and as many as five injuries were caused to him, which included injury on his face.

This Court vide order dated 24.10.2017, had directed the petitioners to appear before the trial Court and in the event of their arrest, they were to be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Jaksir Singh states that in pursuance to the order dated 24.10.2017 the petitioners have joined investigation and are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners having joined investigation and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 24.10.2017, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioners, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioners shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

November 30, 2017
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>No</i>