

CRM No. M-39650 of 2017

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-39650 of 2017
Date of Decision : 24.10.2017**

Meenu Devi and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Ms. Kuljinder Kaur, Advocate for the petitioners.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioners are seeking a direction to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from respondents No. 4 and 5.

It is stated in the petition that the date of birth of petitioner No. 1 is 01.01.1996 and that of petitioner No. 2 is 20.11.1992. Copy of Aadhaar card of petitioner No.1 is annexed as Annexure P-1 and copy of Aadhaar Card of petitioner No.2 is annexed as Annexure P-2. They are stated to have solemnized their marriage on 17.10.2017 and the photographs in this regard are annexed as Annexure P-3. It is further stated in the petition that the petitioners had also made a representation dated 18.10.2017 (Annexure P-4) to the Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad but no action has so far been taken thereon.

Issue notice to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

At the asking of the Court Mr. Vikas Malik, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

Without expressing any opinion on the validity of the marriage and keeping in view the mandate of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. and another*, JT 2006(6) SC 173, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2-Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.

October 24th, 2017.
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ? *Yes/No*
Whether reportable ? *Yes/No*