

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

273

CRL. MISC. No.M-40502 OF 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 21st MARCH, 2017

Raj Kumar alias Raju

.... **Petitioners**

Versus

State of Haryana & another

.... **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Arjun Singh Yadav, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Manoj Arora, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.304 dated 08.11.2015 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 & 506 IPC at Police Station Pinjore, District Panchkula, in view of compromise.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2/complainant submits that compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had made an order dated 08.12.2016 asking for recording of statement in order to find out the correctness and genuineness of the compromise said to have been arrived at between the parties.

Accordingly, statements have been recorded by the trial Court and report has been received by this Court. Looking to the report it is seen that the compromise is voluntary and without any threat, coercion

and undue influence. I have seen the offences registered against the petitioners. Looking to the nature of the offence I think the compromise/compounding should be allowed.

In view of the above, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) CRL. MISC. No.M-40502 OF 2016 is allowed.
- (ii) The FIR No.304 dated 08.11.2015 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 & 506 IPC at Police Station Pinjore, District Panchkula, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings qua the petitioner.

21st March, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No.