

**102 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-39624-2017
Date of decision-25.10.2017**

Nirmal Kaur

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Anurag Chopra, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This petition under Section 438 of the Criminal Procedure Code has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in Complaint No.179/1 dated 18.05.2012 registered under Sections 452, 354, 323, 324, 506, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC') which is pending in the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner had been regularly appearing before the trial Court, however, she could not appear on a solitary date i.e.01.09.2017 due to some personal difficulty as she has to look after her son who is a student in Mumbai. The absence of the petitioner from the process of the Court was neither intentional nor wilful.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the case file.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Anmol Sandhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete copy of the paper book has been furnished to the learned counsel, in the Court.

In view of the above and considering the fact that the petitioner had been regularly appearing, it is ordered that in case the petitioner surrenders before the competent Court within one week from today, she be admitted to bail, on her furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds, to its satisfaction.

This petition is disposed of without issuing notice to respondent Nos.2 and 3 with a view to impart complete justice to the parties and save the huge expenses, which may be incurred by the respondents as also in order to avoid unnecessary delay in adjudication of the matter. Still, if dissatisfied, the respondents may move this Court for recalling this order within three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

Disposed of, accordingly.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

25.10.2017
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No