

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-40467 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: March 30, 2017

Surjit Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr.G.S.Bhatia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Ashish Sanghi, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.Chetan Chauhan, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'Cr.P.C.') seeking quashing of FIR No.122 dated 5.9.2016 (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, registered for offences punishable under Sections 324, 452 Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC') at Police Station, Rahon, District Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar, on the basis of the compromise (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter has since been settled vide compromise, copy of which has been placed on file as Annexure P-2.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2-complainant endorsed the submission of learned counsel for the petitioner and has no objection if the

impugned FIR (Annexure P-1) is quashed.

Learned State counsel has also not disputed compromise (Annexure P-2).

In order to verify the veracity and genuineness of the settlement between the parties, they were directed to appear before the trial court and get their statements recorded. The trial court has sent its report dated 6.2.2017 stating therein that the compromise has been effected between the complainant and the accused which appears to be voluntary in nature and without any pressure or influence.

Keeping all the above facts in view, I am of the considered opinion that it is a fit case in which the impugned FIR should be quashed. Keeping the case pending will not serve the ends of justice. The quashing of the FIR will provide the parties to this petition an opportunity to live in an amicable, peaceful and harmonious atmosphere which is not only in the interest of the parties but also for their families and ultimately the society at large.

For the reasons as discussed above, the instant petition is allowed and the impugned FIR No.122 dated 5.9.2016 (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, qua petitioner, is quashed.

March 30, 2017

Paritosh Kumar

**(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No