

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-40432 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 15.02.2017**

Pritam Rani & another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Sajjan Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. C.S. Bakhshi, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.317, dated 22.09.2015, under Sections 419/420 IPC, registered at Police Station Civil Line, Sonapat.

Counsel for the parties have been heard at length.

The allegations in a nutshell are that the present petitioners have secured employment as JBT Teachers under the Haryana State Education Department and in the process of selection which include the written test, somebody else had impersonated for the petitioners and had sat in the examination.

While issuing notice of motion on 11.11.2016, the following order was passed by this Court:

“Petitioners are ladies who have allegedly obtained job as JBT teachers by cheating as their signatures do not tally on the application forms submitted for STET, as such, presumption of having passed the examination by impersonation has been drawn.

Notice to Advocate General, Haryana for 12.01.2017.

Meanwhile, an interim direction is issued that the petitioners will join investigation on or before 26.11.2016. In case of petitioners doing so, they will be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer.

The petitioners will also facilitate the sampling, if any, desired by the investigating agency.”

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Suresh Kumar has very fairly stated that in all they were about 30 teachers, who were involved and out of them, 24 have already been granted the concession of pre-arrest bail. Court has also been apprised that the petitioners in pursuance to ad interim protection having been granted have already joined investigation and had even cooperated.

Under such circumstances, custodial interrogation of the petitioners would not be warranted.

Prayer is allowed. Order dated 11.11.2016 passed by this Court is made absolute.

Disposed of.

15.02.2017

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|-----|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | No |