

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-40398-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 06.02.2017

Shammi Kumar @ Rohit Kumar

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present : Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate
for the petitioner (s).

Ms. Dhivya Jerath, AAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Sukhpal Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.251 dated 26.11.2013, registered under Section 22 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, at Police Station Salem Tabri, Distt. Ludhiana.

Reply on behalf of respondent-State has been filed in the Court, same is taken on record.

On the last date of hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioner stated that despite the charges framed on 21.01.2016, no prosecution witness had been examined. However, the learned State counsel, on instructions, informs that during the interregnum period out of 12 prosecution witnesses, 6 have been given up; 3 have been examined;

examination-in-chief of the remaining 3 has been recorded; and matter is slated for cross-examination of these remaining 3 prosecution witnesses.

In view of above statement of learned State counsel, no case for bail is made out at this stage.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

06.02.2017
ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No