

**212 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-39455 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 13.11.2017.

Amit

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Himansu Jawa, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

CRM No. 36137 of 2017

The application is allowed as prayed for. Annexures
P-2 and P-3 are taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

Main case

The present petition has been filed under Section 439
Cr.P.C seeking bail in case FIR No.196 dated 03.06.2016 registered
under Sections 376, 452 and 506 IPC and Section 4 of Protection of
Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012, at Police Station Sadar,
Sonipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the
the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is
further contended that all the prosecution witnesses have been
examined and now the case is fixed for defence evidence. In the

defence evidence, four witnesses have been already examined and four more remains to be examined. It is further submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 03.06.2016. The petitioner is a young boy of 20 years of age.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the all the PWs have been examined and the case is fixed for defence evidence; four DWs remain to be examined; the petitioner is in custody since 03.06.2016; the petitioner is a young boy of 20 years of age; so, without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/duty Magistrate concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

13.11.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No