

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-39405 OF 2017
DECIDED ON : 30.11.2017**

Jaspreet Singh @ Raju and another

...Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Harish Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanisth Ganeriwala, AAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.110 dated 26.07.2015 under Sections 307/323/447/511/336/427/148/149 IPC and Section 27 of Arms Act, (summoned under Sections 307/324/326/323/447/336/427/34 IPC and Section 27 of Arms Act under Section 319 Cr.P.C), registered at Police Station Sidhwan Bet, Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that although the petitioners were declared innocent in two inquiries conducted by the Deputy Superintendent of Police and Superintendent of Police, they have been summoned by the trial Court under Section 319 Cr.P.C.

This Court, by the order dated 24.10.2017, had directed the petitioners to appear before the trial Court and on

their doing so, they were ordered to be released on ad-interim bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that in pursuance to the order passed by this Court, the petitioners have appeared before the trial Court on 03.11.2017 and have been granted ad-interim bail.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 24.10.2017, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioners, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioners shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

NOVEMBER 30, 2017
shalini

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
2. Whether reportable : YES/NO