

201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40227 of 2016

Date of decision: January 12, 2017

Parveen Kumar and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. V.K. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ayuwan Singh, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Ajay Sharma, Advocate for the complainant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.183 dated 11.05.2016, under Section 420 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 3 of the Protection Interest of Deposits in Financial Establishment in financial act 2013, registered at Police Station Sampla, District Rohtak, the petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official, states that the complainant and accused have arrived at compromise.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 10.11.2016 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioners, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

January 12, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No