

205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40167 of 2016

Date of decision: February 17, 2017

Lehna Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

In FIR No.1220 dated 21.09.2016, under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 506, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station City Panipat, District Panipat, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail. This Court had made an order dated 10.11.2016 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official, states that the petitioner has joined investigation and custody is not required.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 10.11.2016 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

February 17, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No