

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39260 of 2017

Date of Decision: 13.12.2017

Manminder Singh Sandhu

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: - Mr. J.S. Grewal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Sandhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Vakalatnama on behalf of complainant-Shamsher Singh filed by Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate in Court today, is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated at bar that he has no objection in allowing the application of the State for impleading the Assistant Excise and Taxation Commissioner, Fazilka, as respondent No. 2.

Accordingly, the Assistant Excise and Taxation Commissioner, Fazilka, is permitted to be impleaded as respondent No. 2.

Amended memo of parties filed along with application is taken on record. Office to tag the same at an appropriate place.

Application is disposed of accordingly.

Learned State counsel contends that the petitioner is the main accused who has caused loss to the State exchequer to the tune of ₹ 1,11,06,319/- and therefore, the recovery of the same is to be effected from him for which he is required for custodial interrogation.

Learned counsel for complainant also submits that unless and

until the recovery of the aforesaid amount is effected from the petitioner, he is not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail because, in case, he would not pay the due amount to the government, the fertile land of the complainant would be sold by the excise department for no fault of his.

Considering over all facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is not entitled to any concession of anticipatory bail. Therefore, the instant application filed by the petitioner for his anticipatory bail is dismissed.

December 13, 2017
jyoti-3

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No