

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3923-2017

Date of decision : 13.02.2017

Fateh Singh @ Fateh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Rajesh Mehta, Addl.A.G. Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already been in custody since 06.07.2015, with only a 'datar' stated to have been recovered from him, and the trial being nowhere near conclusion, with only one witness examined so far.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the fact that out of 22 witnesses only one has been examined so far, but as regards the role of the petitioner, he is stated to have conspired along with his co-accused in helping an accused to escape from custody. He is also stated to have been present at the spot when the police party was fired upon.

Though firing upon a police party is obviously a serious offence, however, considering the fact that the petitioner is not shown to have been attributed any active role of actually firing upon the police party and he has been in custody for the past 1 ½ years and the trial being

nowhere near conclusion as yet, I consider it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court, upon his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds, subject to complying with all other conditions as would be laid down by that Court.

Disposed of.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

February 13, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No