

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.250-2

**CRM-M No.39163 of 2015
Date of decision: 22.02.2017**

Raj Kumar Patwari and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. J.S. Thind, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Dhivya Jerath, Advocate
for the State of Punjab.

Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., quashing of FIR No.45 dated 10.03.2010, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, at Police Station Beas, District Amritsar Rural, is sought for on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

Through orders of this Court dated 11.01.2016 and 16.11.2016, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded, who in turn was directed to send a report to this Court with regard to genuineness of compromise.

As directed, report dated 09.12.2016 from the Sub-Divisional, Judicial Magistrate, Baba Bakala Sahib, Amritsar, has been received, as per which the parties recorded their statements before the trial Court in terms

of the compromise arrived at between them.

Learned State counsel has also raised no objection.

In view of the above, continuation of the proceedings in pursuance to the afore-referred FIR would be an abuse of the process of law and in terms of the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others versus State of Haryana and others, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, I deem it just and proper to allow the petition and resultantly quash the FIR No.45 dated 10.03.2010, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, at Police Station Beas, District Amritsar Rural, and all proceedings arising therefrom.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

February 22, 2017

Jyoti 1

(i) Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

(ii) Whether reportable Yes/No